

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

Writ Petition No.1938 of 2018

Order:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for the respondents.

The petitioner states that originally the agricultural lands situated in Survey No.1015/2A2 an extent of Ac.1-67 cents, in Survey No.1015/2A3 an extent of Ac.1-66 cents and in Survey No.1015/2A4 an extent of Ac.1-67 cents of Chilamathur village and Mandal, Ananthapur District, were assigned on 23.03.1932 in favour of one Karanam Venkata Subbanna. Thereafter, number of transactions took place in respect of the above lands and presently one K. Srirami Reddy and others are the owners of the said property. The petitioner purchased the said property from the said K. Srirami Reddy and others and presented the sale deed before the fourth respondent on 13.12.2017 for registration. When the fourth respondent refused to register the sale deed by order dated 22.12.2017 on the ground that the said property was included in the prohibitory list under Section 22-A(1)(e) of the Registration Act, 1908, the petitioner filed the present Writ Petition.

This Court in Vinjamuri Rajagopala Chary v. State of A.P.¹ considered the said issue and held as follows:

35.1 Further, as noticed earlier the State Government is empowered either suo motu or on application to consider the grievances against inclusion of any property in the prohibitory list under Section 22-A of Registration Act and is also empowered to de-notify either in full or in part the notification issued under subsection (2). In our opinion, the redressal mechanism is available only with respect to notifications published relating to the properties falling under clause (e) of Section 22-A. Hence, any grievance of the parties with reference to the properties covered by clauses (a) to (d) will have to be questioned by the aggrieved parties only by appropriate proceedings before a competent Court

¹ 2016 (1) ALT 550 (FB)

and the adjudication by such Court would be final. Further, so far as notified properties falling under clause (e) are concerned, the redressal mechanism under sub-section (4) of Section 22-A would be able to effectively address the grievance provided the mechanism thereunder is effective, expeditious, fair, and judicious. Thus, in order to make an effective redressal mechanism, we deem it appropriate to direct the respective Governments of both the States to constitute a Committee or establish a Forum within time frame, may be comprising of Principal Secretary of Revenue, Director of Survey and Land Records and a retired Judicial Officer of the rank of a District Judge which shall meet periodically to consider the grievances of the persons affected by the notifications. The Committee shall be empowered to examine relevant records and then pass a reasoned order either accepting or rejecting the grievance by either confirming/deleting/modifying any such property from the notified list of properties. In our view, such orders passed by the Committee shall be binding on the State as well as on the aggrieved person and in the event of any of them being aggrieved thereby, they shall have to approach a competent Court of Law for redressal of their grievance.

In view of the same, the petitioner is given liberty to approach the said grievance redressal committee for appropriate relief by making proper application. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the grievance redressal committee was not constituted and there is no one to redress the grievance of the petitioner. If no grievance redressal committee is constituted as directed by this Court, the petitioner is given liberty to submit proper representation to the second respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and the second respondent is directed to pass appropriate orders on the grievance expressed by the petitioner, within a period of four weeks thereafter.

The Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

A. RAMALINGESWARA RAO, J

Date: 24.01.2018
Nsr

