



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 15.03.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

WEB COPY

W.P(MD)No.7201 of 2013

N.Ramasamy

... Petitioner

Vs

The BHEL,
Rep. By its Managing Director (HR-Welfare)
(Pension Cell),
Trichy.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order vide Ref.BHEL: Pension: 12 dated 20.08.2012 and quash the same and consequently direct the respondent to disburse the pensionary benefits payable to the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Antony Arulraj
For Respondent : No appearance

ORDER

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the writ petitioner states that during pendency of the writ petition, a revised pension scheme was introduced and accordingly, pensionary benefits due to the writ petitioner had been settled.

2.In this view of the fact, no further adjudication is required and accordingly, the writ petition stands closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+ 1 cc TO Mr.T.Antony Arul Raj , Advocate in SR No. 55848

am

AE/JC/SAR3/04.04.2018/1P/2C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

W.P(MD)No.7201 of 2013

15.03.2018