



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.04.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

AND

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE T.KRISHNAVALLI

W.A[MD].No.1047 of 2011

in

W.P. (MD) No.12214 of 2010

L.Devasankar

: Appellant/Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur West Police Station,
Thanjavur.

3. Balu
Working as Sub Inspector of Police,
C.I.D.Branch,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur.

: Respondents/Respondents

PRAYER: Appeal is filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent Act, to set aside the order of the learned single Judge of this Court, dated 20.04.2011 in W.P. (MD) No.12214 of 2010.

Prayer in WP(MD). 12214/ 2010 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court To issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS directing the first respondent to initiate action on the Petitioner s complaint dated 20.08.2010 against the 3rd respondent and consequently forbear the 3rd respondent from interfering with the life of the Petitioner except in a manner provide under law.

For Appellant : Mr.N.Dharmar

For Respondents : Mr.C.M.Mari Chelliah Prabhu
Additional Government Pleader

JUDGEMENT

[Judgement of the Court was delivered

by **K.RAVICHANDRABAABU, J]**

The appellant is the writ petitioner. He filed a Writ of Mandamus directing the Superintendent of Police to initiate action on the petitioner's complaint, dated 20.08.2010 against the Sub



Inspector of Police, CID Branch, Thanjavur and consequently, to forbear the said official from interfering with the life of the petitioner except in the manner provided under Law.

2. The above writ petition was taken up by the learned single Judge along with another similar writ petition filed in W.P.(MD) No.12236 of 2010 for disposal. The learned Judge after considering the rival pleadings of the parties and also the submissions made before him, dismissed the writ petitions by specifically observing that the writ petitioners were practicing the Sidha Medicine without valid licence and the third respondent/Inspector of Police, has conducted the investigation based on the directions issued by the Superintendent of Police.

3. It is also pointed out by the learned single Judge that there were anonymous complaints received against the petitioners and therefore, the investigation was conducted without violation of any Rules. When such being the factual finding rendered by the learned single Judge, we do not find any reason to interfere with such finding, more particularly, when the Police sought to investigate the matter based on the complaint issued against the petitioner and another. Therefore, we do not find any merits in the writ appeal and accordingly, the same is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(crl side)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur West Police Station,
Thanjavur.
3. The Sub Inspector of Police,
C.I.D.Branch,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Thanjavur.

+1cc to Mr.N.Dilip Kumar, Advocate Sr.No.60987

RJ2

VB/JC/SAR3/16/05/2018/2P/5C

W.A[MD].No.1047 of 2011
12.04.2018