



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.10.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRP (MD) No.2164 of 2018(PD)
and
CMP(MD) No.9584 of 2018

A.M.D.Leenus

... Petitioner/Petitioner/
8th Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Management of Tuticorin Stevedores
Association,
(Labour Pool) Administrative Body
Rep.by its Secretary, Tuticorin-4.

2.The Management of Tuticorin Port
Trust Cargo Handling
Labour Pool, Tuticorin Port Trust,
Tuticorin - 4.

... Respondents/Respondents/
Respondents

Prayer: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to call for the records in I.A No.50 of 2018 in C.P No.135 of 1992 dated 10.09.2018 (received on 14.09.2018) on the file of the IV Additional District Judge, Tirunelveli (Labour Court) and set aside the same and further direct the IV Additional District Judge, Tirunelveli (Labour Court) to direct the respondents herein to produce the documents mentioned in the schedule of accompanying petition in I.A No.50 of 2018 in C.P No.135 of 1992.

For Petitioner: Mr.J.Shabu Jose

for M/s.Isaac Chambers

For Respondent: Mr.N.Satheesh Kumar for R2

ORDER

This civil revision petition is directed against the order dated 10.09.2018 made in I.A No.50 of 2018 in CP No.135 of 1992 on the file of the Labour Court, Tirunelveli. The said I.A has been taken out for directing the respondents to produce certain documents. The court below noted that the CP was instituted way



back in the year 1992 and a direction has been given for its speedy disposal by this Court.

2. More than anything else, as rightly pointed out by Thiru. Sathish kumar, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Arivuchandran, the learned Standing Counsel, the revision petitioner filed I.A No.42 of 2018 for re-opening the case and for adducing further evidence. The said I.A was dismissed on 06.08.2018. Admittedly, the said order has not been questioned till date.

3. When the revision petitioner's application for re-opening the case was negatived, the question of filing I.A No.50 of 2018 will simply not arise. The said I.A is intrinsically not maintainable. I find no reason to interfere with the order passed by the court below.

4. This civil revision petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is also dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-III)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS-IV)

To

The IV Additional District Judge, Tirunelveli (Labour Court),
Tirunelveli.

+ 1 CC TO MR.N.SATHEESH KUMAR , ADVOCATE IN SR NO.88406

+ 1 CC TO MS.ISAAC CHAMBERS, IN SR NO.88620

SKM

BU/NM/SV/SAR-IV :14.11.2018 : 2P/4C

CRP (MD) No.2164 of 2018(PD)
and
CMP(MD) No.9584 of 2018
01.10.2018