



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 05.12.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA
Crl.O.P.(MD)No.21301 of 2018

N.Srinivasan

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,
Central Zone,
TVS Tolgate, Subramaniyapuram,
Trichy.

2.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Trichy District.

3.The Inspector of Police,
Economic offences Wing,
Trichy.

4.The Inspector of Police,
Thuraiyur Police Station,
Trichy District.

5.Raja

6.Dinesh kumar Manne

: Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.,
to grant adequate police protection to the petitioner and his family
members.


For Petitioners : Mr.Kumaresan

For R1 to R4 : Mr.A.Robinson, G.A.(Crl.side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to grant
adequate police protection to the petitioner and his family members.

2.When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned
Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that on a complaint
given by one Nehru, son of the Kuppusamy, a case has been registered
as against the petitioner, for the offence under Sections 406 & 420
IPC. He would further submit that the allegations against the
petitioners is that he collected money from several persons by
stating that he would get job abroad and subsequently, he cheated



them. He would also submit them the fourth respondent had visited the premises of the petitioner along with the Village Administrative Officer and that they have found nobody at the premises and the Village Administrative Officer has also issued a certificate stating that there is nobody available in the house for the past one week.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that due to harassment of third persons, the petitioner along with the family members had fled away from the house and he would further submit that for the offence committed by the petitioner, the family members shall not be victimised and the respondent police has to give protection to the petitioner's family members, who are innocents and who are not connected with offence.

4.This Court is of the view that the fourth respondent is duty bound to protect innocent family members of the petitioner, who are not connected with the offence. In the event of the petitioners family members giving any representation, the respondent police shall consider it.

5.With this observation, this Criminal Original Petition is closed.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS-IV)

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Central Zone,
TVS Tolgate, Subramaniyapuram,
Trichy.
- 2.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner of Police,
Trichy District.
- 3.The Inspector of Police,
Economic offences Wing,
Trichy.
- 4.The Inspector of Police,
Thuraiyur Police Station,
Trichy District.

Copy to:

The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.S.KUMARESAN, Advocate, SR.No. 98814

Cr1.O.P. (MD) No.21301 of 2018

GNS

KK/SKN/SAR-4/06.12.2018/2P-7C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>