



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Twenty Ninth day of November Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL MP (MD) No.9706 of 2018

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.15352 of 2018

RESHMA DAWOOD

... PETITIONER/PETITIONER/PETITIONER

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
VIRUDHUNAGAR,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.7 OF 2018)


... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to extend the time in Crl.MP(MD)NO. 8421/2018 in dated 24.10.2018 Crl.OP(MD)No. 15352/2018 dated 18.09.2018 for surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No. II, Virudhunagar

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.P.T.RAMESH RAJA, Advocate for the petitioner and of MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side) on behalf of the Respondent, the court made the following order:-

This petition has been filed to extend the time in Crl.M.P.(MD) No.8421 of 2018, dated 24.10.2018, in Crl.O.P.(MD)No.15352 of 2018, dated 18.09.2018 for surrender before the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Virudhunagar.

2.This Court by order dated 18.09.2018, granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner on condition that the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- to the Crime No.7 of 2018, since the defacto complainant has been cheated to the tune of Rs.12,00,000/- and thereafter, he executed sureties before the concerned Court. Thereafter, the petitioner had filed a petition to extend the time limit of the petitioner's surrender and execute sureties. Now, the petitioner has filed a petition seeking another extension of time.



3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as he is unable to contact the petitioner, he is not in a position to state that the petitioner has complied with the order of this Court by paying a sum of Rs.5,00,000/-. At that time, it was informed to the learned counsel for the petitioner that the defacto complainant has no records for making the payment of Rs.5,00,000/-. Hence, they are not willing to deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- as per the order of this Court.

4.Considering the same, this petition is dismissed.

5.It is made clear that as per the order of this Court in Cr1.O.P.(MD)No.15352 of 2018, granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner stands cancelled. The respondent is free to secure the accused / petitioner and proceed with the investigation.

sd/-
29/11/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO II
VIRUDHUNAGAR
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT AT SRIVILLIPUTHUR
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DISTRICT CRIME BRANCH,
VIRUDHUNAGAR DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

ORDER
IN
CRL MP (MD) No.9706 of 2018
IN
CRL OP (MD) No.15352 of 2018
Date :29/11/2018

MSI/VR-MMS/SAR-II/11.12.2018-2P/5C