



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty First day of December Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.22620 of 2018

1 PREMALA
2 SHARMILA
3 RATHINARAJ

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED 5 to 7

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ALANGULAM,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.5/2015)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.ANANTH C.RAJESH Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused 5 to 7, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 406 & 506(ii) IPC, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioners is that they demanded dowry from the defacto complainant.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are in-laws of the defacto complainant. They are innocent and they are residing at far off places. Hence, anticipatory bail may be granted to them.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that this is a matrimonial dispute and investigation is going on.



5. Considering the nature of the fact, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, with certain conditions:-

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Alangulam, Tirunelveli District and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that

[a] if the petitioners failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
21/12/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
ALANGULAM,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.



2 DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
ALANGULAM,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.ANANTH C.RAJESH Advocate SR.No.23914

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.22620 of 2018

Date :21/12/2018

AMS/PN-AC/S-3/28.12.2018/3P/6C