



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Twenty First day of December Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP (MD) No.22698 of 2018

SARAVANAN,

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED No.1

Vs

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAVAKURICHI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.490/2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.S.SANTHANAM RAJESHKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate(Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 21 (i) (a) (b) of Mines and Minerals Development Regulation Act, 1957, r/w 379 I.P.C in Crime No.490 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.


2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had illegally transported four units of sand.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offences as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the State submitted that there are no previous case pending against the petitioner. He further submitted that if the persons is caught with illegal sand in the mining area, he has to be directed to deposit the conditional amount to the credit of Crime number. However, in view of formation of District Mineral Foundation Trust in each district, the amount may be deposited to the credit of the said Trust for rehabilitation in the illegal sand mining affected areas.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5.In view of the rehabilitation undertaken by the State



Government under the Tamil Nadu District Mineral Foundation Rules, 2017, and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities and also considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand only)** to the credit of the concerned District Mineral Foundation Trust, without prejudice to his rights and contentions.

6. It is made clear that the deposit of the amount by the petitioner to the Trust would not amount to admission of guilt. The trial Court shall deal with the the case independently on merits without reference to the amount deposited at the stage of anticipatory bail.

7.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Karur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days, this order shall stand automatically cancelled.

(ii) the petitioner shall make a non refundable deposit of **Rs.60,000/- (Rupees Sixty Thousand only)** to the credit of the Chairman/District Collector, District Mineral Foundation Trust of the concerned District, without prejudice to their defence before the trial Court and thereafter, the learned Judicial Magistrate shall admit the sureties furnished by the petitioner;

(iii) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

(iv) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(v) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(vi) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.
(vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

WEB COPY

sd/-
21/12/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1.THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
KARUR
- 2.DO THRO'THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 3.THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAVAKURICHI POLICE STATION,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 4.THE CHAIRMAN/DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
DISTRICT MINERAL FOUNDATION TRUST,
KARUR DISTRICT.
- 5 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.S.SANTHANAM RAJESHKUMAR Advocate SR.No.24028

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.22698 of 2018
Date :21/12/2018

AE/PN-AC/SAR3/28.12.2018/3P/7C