



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Thirteenth day of November Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.20291 of 2018

MUTHUNATHAN

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY ITS,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KADALADI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO. 99 OF 2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323 and 324 of I.P.C. and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, 2002, in Crime No.99 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are having their cattle shed in adjacent to each other. On 31.10.2018, the petitioner's dog bitten the defacto complainant's goat. Hence, the defacto complainant questioned the petitioner. Due to which, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injuries. Hence a case has been registered against the petitioner herein.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital.



5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsels, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Muthukulathoor, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[b] if the petitioner failed to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court herself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
13/11/2018

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MUTHUKULATHOOR

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADALADI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.



4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to MR.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate SR.No.21482

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.20291 of 2018

Date :13/11/2018

LS

AE/RR/SAR1/19.11.2018/3P/6C