



WEB COPY

1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 31.10.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

W.P.(MD)No.22176 of 2018

K.Sarojini

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Chennai - 600 006.

2.The District Educational Officer,
Madurai District,
Madurai.

3.The Headmistress,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Samanatham,
Madurai - 9.

... Respondents


PRAYER: Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to award incentive increment for the Higher Education acquired by the petitioner in the light of G.O.Ms.No.83, School Education (E.E.1(2)) Department, dated 28.04.2017, based on her representation, dated 08.06.2017, within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu

For Respondents : Ms.S.Srimathi
Spl.Govt.Pleader

O R D E R

The petitioner has come forward with the present Writ Petition for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st and 2nd respondents to award incentive increment for the Higher Education acquired by the petitioner in the light of G.O.Ms.No.83, School Education (E.E.1(2)) Department, dated 28.04.2017, based on her representation, dated 08.06.2017, within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that it would suffice if the representations of the petitioner, dated 08.06.2017 is disposed, in the light of G.O.Ms.No.83, School Education (E.E.1(2)) Department, dated 28.04.2017 and in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

4. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents states that the respondents are willing to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, but they have to ascertain whether the G.O.Ms.No.83, dated 28.04.2017, mentioned is applicable to the case of the petitioner and whether the same is superseded or not

5. Taking note of the submissions made by the parties, the respondents are directed to consider the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if there are no legal impediments.

6. With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AD-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

To

1. The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road, Chennai - 600 006.

2. The District Educational Officer,
Madurai District, Madurai.

3. The Headmistress,
Government Higher Secondary School,
Samanatham, Madurai - 9.

• 1 CC TO Mr.G.Thalaimutharasu , ADVOCATE IN SR No.93913.
+1 cc to Special Government Pleader, SR.No.93869.

MPK

DS RSK SAR1 18 12 2018 2P 6C

W.P. (MD) No.22176 of 2018
31.10.2018