



WEB COPY

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 06.07.2018**

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE R.THARANI**

W.P. (MD) No.14503 of 2018

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.13078 and 13079 of 2018

V.Angamuthu

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Principal District Judge,
Office of the Principal District Judge,
Karur.

2. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Karur District.

3. Priyadharisini, S.,
Xerograph Machine Operator,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Karur.

4. Saraswathi, N.,
Xerograph Machine Operator,
Judicial Magistrate Court No.II,
Karur.

5. Birundha Devi, T.,
Xerograph Machine Operator,
Judicial Magistrate Court No.1,
Karur.

6. Saranya, R.,
Xerograph Machine Operator,
Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Land,
Grabbing cases, Karur.

... Respondents

Prayer: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Certiorari Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the appointment order of the first respondent in A.No.24/2017/A1, dated 25.06.2018 and quash the same as illegal and to conduct fresh interview under the supervision of the first respondent by giving priority to petitioner's past service in the Valar Kalvi Project.



WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Lakshmanan
For Respondents : Mr.K.Samidurai
for R.1
: Mr.M.Jeyakumar
Additional Government Pleader
for R.2

ORDER

(Order of the Court was delivered by **M.DURAISWAMY, J.**)

The petitioner has filed the above writ petition to issue a Writ of Certiorari Mandamus to call for the records pertaining to the appointment order of the first respondent, dated 25.06.2018 and quash the same and to conduct fresh interview under the supervision of the first respondent by giving priority to petitioner's past service in the Valar Kalvi Project.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he applied for the post of Xerox Operator in the office of the first respondent and received the interview letter dated 07.06.2018, however, he was not selected. Hence, he has filed the writ petition stating that the appointment has been made without considering his past experience.

3. Mr.K.Samidurai, learned Counsel takes notice for the first respondent and submitted that the selection of the Xerox Operator was made in accordance with the Rules and the selection was also made only on the merit basis.

4. Mr.M.Jeyakumar, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the second respondent also reiterated the contention of the learned Counsel appearing for the first respondent.

5. Since the petitioner has not made out any case of *malafide*, the impugned order cannot be set aside. That apart, the experience of the petitioner and that he had registered with the Employment Exchange are not a criteria for selecting the Xerox Operator in the office of the first respondent. That being the case, we do not find any merit in the writ petition and the same is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also dismissed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/



To

1. The Principal District Judge,
Office of the Principal District Judge,
Karur.

2. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Karur District.

Copy to

The Section Officer,
Legal Cell,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.R.Lakshmanan, Advocate Sr.No.71476
1cc to The Spl. Government Pleader Sr.No.71683

SSL

VB/SKN/RSK/SAR2/20.07.2018/3P/6C

W.P. (MD) No.14503 of 2018
and
W.M.P. (MD) Nos.13078 and 13079 of 2018
06.07.2018