



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.07.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.V.MURALIDARAN

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

Review Aplw (MD) No.23 of 2017

Ajeet Kumar Saxena

... Review Petitioner/3rd Party

vs.

1. M.Ramesh

Advocate,

85, Law Chamber,

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,

Uthangudi, Madurai 625 107.

2. The Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)

Rep. by its Director,

Plot No.5-B, CGO Complex,

Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003. ... Respondents

Review Application has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Order 47 Rule 1 of C.P.C, against the order passed in WP (MD) No.21214 of 2016 dated 24.11.2016.

PRAYER IN WP(MD)No.21214 of 2016:

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the Respondent to consider the Petitioner's representation dated 28.10.2016 seeking to ensure the benefit of Public and Welfare of innocent girl children from being exploited by false claims, and after due investigation into the financial transactions of Sharanagath trust, take such appropriate legal actions to uphold rule of law and prevent abuse of official position.

For Review Petitioner : Mr.S.Subbiah, Senior Counsel
for M/s.P.Jessi Jeeva Priya

For Respondents : Mr.C.Selvakumar for R1
Mr.Nagendran for
Special Public Prosecutor
CBI for R2

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was delivered by R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.)

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> Application has been filed seeking for review of the order dated 24.11.2016 passed in WP (MD) No.21214 of 2016 before the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court.



2. This Review Petition has been filed by the third party against whom the Division Bench had directed an enquiry to be conducted by the Central Bureau of Investigation. The grievance of the review petitioner is that he was not heard, before this Court directed investigation by the CBI. He has also produced certain materials, in support of his case. A perusal of the materials produced by him *prima facie* show that the Writ Petition seeking CBI Enquiry against him should be heard, after notice to him.

3. We are therefore of the view that the Review Petition should be allowed and the Writ Petition should be re-heard. For the foregoing reasons, the Review Petition will stand allowed and the order of the Division Bench dated 24.11.2016 will stand set aside.

4. The Registry is directed to post the Writ Petition in WP (MD) No.21214 of 2017 tentatively on 13.08.2018 for fixing the date. No costs.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS-IV)

To

The Director
Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI)
Plot No.5-B, CGO Complex,
Lodhi Road, New Delhi 110 003.

+1cc to Mr.P.JESSI JEEVA PRIYA, Advocate, SR.No. 75676

Review Aplw (MD) No.23 of 2017
27.07.2018

JV
KK/SKN/SAR-4/10.08.2018/2P-3C/