



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twentieth day of June Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRL OP(MD) No.9976 of 2018

RAMKUMAR

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED-1

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ETHAMOZHI POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT
IN CRIME NO.81 of 2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.K.RAJESHWARAN Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.ROBINSON, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 452, 506(ii) I.P.C and Section 3(1) of TNPPDL Act and Section 4 of Prohibition of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.81 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent.

3.The occurrence in question had taken place because the defacto complainant did not offer his hand of his daughter for marriage alliance. Therefore, the house of the defacto complainant had been ransacked, worth about Rs.1,50,000/-.

4.Since safety of women is involved, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-

20/06/2018

/ TRUE COPY /



1. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ETHAMOZHI POLICE STATION,
KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT

2. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI

MSA
JAM/28/06/2018/ CSL-RR /GSR/ 2P-3C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.9976 of 2018
Date :20/06/2018