



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 19.06.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **S.S.SUNDAR**

W.P (MD) No.12392 of 2018

K.Muthumari

... Petitioner

VS

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Paramakudi Sub-Division,  
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Thasildhar,  
Kadaladi Taluk,  
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to release the petitioner's Tractor bearing Registration No.TN 67-F-1774, forthwith in the light of his representation dated 25.05.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Venkateswaran

For Respondents : Mr.V.Anand  
Government Advocate

### **ORDER**

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondents to release the petitioner's Tractor bearing Registration No.TN 67-F-1774, based on the petitioner's representation dated 25.05.2018.

2.According to the petitioner, the vehicle in question has not been used for any illegal transportation. But the second respondent has seized the same without assigning any reason and handed over to the respondent police.

3.The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents submitted that the vehicle in question was involved in illegal transportation of sand and hence it was seized.

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/>  
1. This Court is not convinced with the statement of the learned Counsel for the petitioner that the vehicle belonging to



the petitioner is not involved in any illegal transportation or illegal mining. Having regard to the fact that the loss that may be caused to the petitioner if the vehicle is allowed to be kept idle is irreparable, this Court is inclined to issue the following directions:

WEB COPY

(a) The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Fiver Thousand only) in cash before the first respondent;

(b) The petitioner is directed to produce all the documents to prove the ownership of the seized vehicle before the first respondent;

(c) The petitioner has to file an affidavit of undertaking that she will cause production of the vehicle in question before the competent/concerned respondent (as the case may be) as and when called for and further, she will not alienate the vehicle in question till the appropriate proceedings initiated are completed;

(d) On compliance of the above conditions, the first respondent is directed to release the seized vehicle bearing Registration No.TN 67-F-1774 to the petitioner (if she is in custody and possession), within three days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order;

(e) This order for the release of the vehicle can be pressed into service by the petitioner only if the vehicle is not in the custody of the criminal Court. If the vehicle is in the custody of concerned Criminal Court of appropriate jurisdiction, then, option is given to the petitioner to approach the concerned Judicial Magistrate to get release of the vehicle, by filing necessary application in the manner known to law and in accordance with law; and

(f) it is open to the respondents to initiate appropriate proceedings against the petitioner and others for the alleged violation or irregularity, which prompted the officials to confiscate the vehicle. Depending upon the final order that may be passed by the respondents, the petitioner is also at liberty to seek refund of any excess amount paid pursuant to this order."

5. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True Copy/



To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Paramakudi Sub-Division,  
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Thasildhar,  
Kadaladi Taluk,  
Ramanathapuram District.

+ 1 cc TO Mr.R.Venkateswaran , Advocate in SR No. 68630

mm

AE/SV MMS/SAR1/26.06.2018/3P/4C

W.P (MD) No.12392 of 2018  
19.06.2018