



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED:24.10.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P. (MD) No.21738 of 2018

T.R.Anbuchellian

... Petitioner

vs.

1.The Secretary to Government of Tamilnadu,
Home Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Trichirapalli District,
Trichy.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Trichirapalli District,
Trichy.

4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Lalkudi,
Trichirapalli District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichirapalli District.

6.Mrs.Renuka Devi,
at present working as Tahsildhar,
Manachallur Taluk,
Trichirappalli District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 5 to take appropriate action against the sixth respondent pursuant to the petitioner's representation dated 15.10.2018 within a stipulated time as prescribed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Chandrakumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Sethuraman
Special Government Pleader

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 to 5 to take appropriate action against the sixth respondent pursuant to the petitioner's representation dated 15.10.2018 within a stipulated time as prescribed by this Court.

2. According to the petitioner, he has given a complaint to the police officials against the sixth respondent in discharge of her duties as Tahsildar on a particular date. Since no action was forthcoming, he is before this Court seeking issuance of Writ of Mandamus.

3. This Court is unable to appreciate as to how such writ petition is maintainable in regard to the prayer as sought in the writ petition. It appears from the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the petitioner has some axe to grind against the sixth respondent and he is trying to involve this Court in settlement of private dispute with the sixth respondent.

4. In the said circumstances, this Court is of the view that the writ petition lacks any *bonafide* and the same is misconceived and the said prayer cannot be granted on the basis of bald averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition. This Court finds no merits in the writ petition and therefore, the same is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

To

1.The Secretary to Government of Tamilnadu,
Home Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Trichirapalli District,
Trichy.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Trichirapalli District,



4.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Lalkudi,
Trichirapalli District.

5.The Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichirapalli District.

+ 1 CC TO MR.R.SETHURAMAN, ADVOCATE IN SR NO.91523
+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER, IN SR NO.91386
+ 1 CC TO MR.A.CHANDRAKUMAR, ADVOCATE IN SR NO.91696

SSL

BU/PM/SAR-I :26.10.2018 : 3P/9C

W.P. (MD) No.21738 of 2018
24.10.2018