



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Eleventh day of December Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.21877 of 2018

KUTRALAM

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUMALPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

(IN CRIME NO. 281 OF 2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : MR.R.SADAGOBAN RENGASAMY Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.CHANDRASEKAR,
Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of TNPWH Act in Crime No.281 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the petitioner are relatives. There is a civil dispute pending between the parties. Due to the same, the petitioner is said to have attacked the defacto complainant and here husband with aruval and caused injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against him and he had nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that injured has already been discharged from the hospital.

5.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Tirunelveli on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-
11/12/2018

/ TRUE COPY /


Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO. I,
TIRUNELVELI.

2. DO THRO' THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.

3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUMALPURAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.



4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1...CC to MR.R.SADAGOBAN RENGASAMY Advocate SR.No.23019

WEB COPY

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.21877 of 2018

Date :11/12/2018

AE/JC/SAR1/19.12.2018/3P/6C