



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED :19.06.2018
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE D. KRISHNAKUMAR

W.P. (MD) No.11176 of 2018 and

WMP(MD).No.10213 of 2018

WEB COPY

M. Ragavi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o the Superintendant of Police,
Karur District, Karur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Pasupathipalayam Police Station,
Karur District.

3.N.Maruthachalam

...Respondents

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of Mandamus directing the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to provide adequate Police Protection to the petitioner and her husband viz., T. Kumar, S/o. Thangaraj and his family members and consequently, direct the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to forbear the respondent No. 3 and his henchmen from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful matrimonial life.

For Petitioner	: Mr.T. Thirumurugan
For R1 and R2	: Mr.Karuppasamy Government Advocate
Respondent No3	: Notice Service Awaited

O R D E R

This Writ Petition has been filed for issuing a direction to the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to provide adequate Police Protection to the petitioner and her husband viz., T. Kumar, S/o. Thangaraj and his family members and consequently, direct the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to forbear the respondent No. 3 and his henchmen from interfering with the petitioner's peaceful matrimonial life.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

3. According to the petitioner, she made a complaint to the respondents, Police to provide adequate Police protection to the petitioner and her husband.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, on instructions, would submit that the petitioner's father made a complaint to the respondents, police that



his daughter was missing. During the enquiry, it was found that the petitioner married one Kumar and he belongs to some other community. Therefore, the petitioner is having a threat to her life at the hands of the petitioner's father. Hence, she seeks Police Protection and filed the aforesaid Writ Petition.

WEB COPY

5. He would further submit that at the time of enquiry, the petitioner's father gave a statement that there is no threat to the life of the petitioner and her husband and also he will not cause any trouble to the petitioner.

6. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks liberty to approach the respondent police, if the petitioner has given any life threat to the petitioner.

7. In view of the submissions made by the both parties, this Court is of the view that the petitioner can make fresh representation to the respondents, Police, to seek the police protection, if there is any life threat to the petitioner at the hands of the petitioner's father, and on such representation, the respondents, Police is directed to consider the same on merits and in accordance with law.

8. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To:

1.The Superintendent of Police,
O/o the Superintendent of Police,
Karur District, Karur.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Pasupathipalayam Police Station,
Karur District.

+1CC to Mr.T.Thirumurugan Advocate in SR.No.68748,
+1CC to Special Government Pleader in SR.No.68776.

TRP

DS/SKN-RSK/SAR-3 :25.06.2018: 2P/5C

W.P. (MD) No.11176 of 2018 and
WMP (MD) .No.10213 of 2018
19.06.2018