



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 16.05.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
Crl.O.P.(MD).No.8099 of 2018

WEB COPY

V.Venkatesan

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by

- 1.The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
praying to direct the 2nd respondent to register a case on the
basis of the petitioner's complaint dated 23.04.2018.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Krishnan

For Respondents : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side).

ORDER

This petition is filed seeking a direction to the 2nd
respondent to register a case on the basis of the petitioner's
complaint dated 23.04.2018.

2.By consent of both sides, this Criminal Original Petition is
taken up for final disposal.

3.The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of a
complaint given by him on 23.04.2018 to the second respondent, the
same has been kept in abeyance without any action. It is well
settled in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Lalita
Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others* [2013 (6) CTC
353], that registration of an FIR is mandatory under Section 154
of the Code of Criminal Procedure if an information furnished to
the police officer disclose commission of a cognizable offence and
in cases where the information does not disclose a cognizable
offence, a preliminary enquiry has to be conducted.

4. The second respondent is not justified in having received
the complaint and keeping it unattended without any further
action. In view of the same, the petitioner has made out a case
for this Court to invoke its inherent powers under Section 482 of



the Criminal Procedure Code.

5. In the result, the petitioner is directed to give a copy of the complaint dated 23.04.2018 to the Station House Officer having territorial jurisdiction over the issue. On receipt of the said copy of the complaint, the concerned Station House Officer shall adhere to the following directions.

1) If the information received by the second respondent discloses commission of a cognizable offence, then, the same shall be forthwith registered.

2) If an information received does not disclose a cognizable offence, the second respondent shall conduct a preliminary inquiry to ascertain whether cognizable offence is disclosed therein or not and such inquiry shall be completed within a period of fifteen days from the date of information.

3) If the inquiry discloses the commission of a cognizable offence, the FIR must be registered, if not already registered or closed.

4) If the preliminary inquiry ends in closing the complaint, the closure report must be recorded along with the reasonings and a copy of the same shall be furnished to the complainant within one week.

5) All information relating to cognizable offences whether resulting in registration of FIR or leading an inquiry must be reflected in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the second respondent's police station.

6) It is made clear that this Court has not given any positive direction to register the complaint but the concerned Investigating Officer shall adhere to the aforesaid procedures while dealing with the petitioner's complaint.

6. In the result, the Criminal Original Petition is allowed with the above directions.

Sd/
Vacation officer

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Anti Land Grabbing Special Cell,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.



3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.S.KARTHICK SUBRAMANIAN, Advocate, SR.No.65824

WEB COPY

Cr1.O.P. (MD) .No.8099 of 2018
16.05.2018

PS/VS/PNN
KK/SV/SAR-4/30.05.2018/3P-5C