



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Sixteenth day of May Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.S.RAMESH

CRL OP (MD) No.7889 of 2018

M.CHELLADURAI

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SENDHAMARAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
(Crime No.122 of 2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.I.PINAYGASH Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.OHM CHAIRMA PRABHU
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294(b), 324 and 307 of IPC in Crime No.122 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to civil dispute in respect of the agricultural cultivation, the petitioner attacked the defacto complainant with Aruval, thereby, the defacto-complainant sustained injury and lodged a complaint against the petitioner before the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State would submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and taking note of the fact that injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant the relief of



anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Magistrate concerned within a period of 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tenkasi, Tirunelveli District, or to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

sd/-
16/05/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TENKASI,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
SENDHAMARAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.I.PINAYGASH Advocate SR.No.8582

RMI
JAM/PN/GSR/21.05.2018/2P-6C

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.7889 of 2018
Date :16/05/2018