



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 27.04.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.(MD)No.9896 of 2018

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.9086 of 2018

C.Pongum Selvam

: Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Arasu Cable TV Corporation Limited,
Chennai-600 008.

2.The District Collector,
Madurai District.

3.The Special Tahsildar,
Arasu Cable TV Corporation Limited,
Madurai.

4.O.R.Mathiprakash

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 1 to 3 herein from in any way allowing the 4th respondent to disconnect the Arasu Cable TV service connection of the members of petitioner sangam and consequently direct the respondents herein to provide Arasu Cable TV digital service connection to the members of the petitioner sangam through petitioner's sangam fixing a reasonable subscription.

For Petitioner	: Mr.V.Janakiramulu
For R1 and R3	: Mr.S.Gunasekaran
For R2	: Mr.Gunaseelan Muthiah, Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

Heard Mr.V.Janakiramulu, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, Mr.S.Gunasekaran, learned counsel, who takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 and 3 and Mr.Gunaseelan Muthiah, learned Additional Government Pleader, who takes notice on behalf of the second respondent.



2.By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

3.It is the grievance of the petitioner that his representation dated 19.03.2018 to provide Arasu Cable TV digital service connection to the members of the petitioner sangam has not been considered by the respondents till date and therefore, has filed the present writ petition.

4.This Court has not expressed any of its view with regard to the merits of the representation made by the petitioner. It is needless to point out that whenever a representation of this nature is made, the respondents are duty bound to consider the same in one way or other. Non consideration of the same would amount to dereliction of their ordinary duties of their office and as such this Court would be justified in invoking its powers under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to direct them to consider the petitioner's representation within a stipulated time.

5.In view of the limited prayer sought for in this writ petition, there shall be a direction to the third respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 19.03.2018 on its own merits and take further action in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Such an exercise shall be done, after giving due opportunity to the petitioner as well as the 4th respondent.

6.With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1 The District Collector, Madurai District.

2.The Special Tahsildar, Arasu Cable TV Corporation Limited, Madurai.

+1CC to Mr.V.Janakiramulu, Advocate, SR.No. 64373

+1CC to Mr.S.Gunasekaran, Advocate, SR.No. 63907

+1CC to the Special Government Pleader SR.No.64514

W.P.(MD)No.9896 of 2018
27.04.2018

Myr

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/>
AM/RRR/SAR 3/28.05.2018/2P/6C