



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 26.04.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P. (MD) No.9663 of 2018
and
W.M.P.Nos 8909 and 8910 of 2018

D.Shanmugam ... Petitioner
vs.
1. The District Collector,
Madurai District,
Madurai.
2. The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu Adi Dravidar Housing and
Development Corporation,
(TAHDCO), Madurai.
3. The Branch Manager,
Canara Bank, Tallakulam,
Madurai.
4. The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank, Karungalakudi,
Melur Taluk,
Madurai District. ... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the fourth respondent's impugned communication dated 24.08.2017 and quash the same as illegal, incorrect, self contradictory and by the principle of estoppel and further to direct the fourth respondent bank to release the remaining Entrepreneur development programme loan amount of Rs.6,18,792/- to purchase tourist vehicle on the basis of the selection and recommendations of the second respondent proceedings in Na.Ka.No.TAHDCO-2015-16 EDP-MD-247 dated 08.03.2016 and consequent recommendations of the fourth respondent bank vide proceedings in TAHDCO 2015-16 EDP-MD-247/08.03.2016 dated 31.01.2017, within a stipulated time fixed by this court.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sathiamoorthy
For Respondents
No.1 and 2 : Mr.S.Nagarajan
Special Government Pleader.

O R D E R

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.



2. When the fourth respondent herein had rejected the petitioner's application dated 24.08.2017 for TAHDCO loan, the petitioner had given his explanation on 16.11.2017 objecting to the reasonings given in the fourth respondent's letter dated 24.08.2017.

3. Today, (26.04.2018) when the matter was called, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that though he has sought for quashing the letter dated 24.08.2017, he would be satisfied, if a direction is given to the fourth respondent to re consider the decision taken by him and to consider his objections dated 16.11.2017.

4. In view of the limited prayer sought for by the petitioner and without going into the merits of the claim made by the petitioner, there shall be a direction to the fourth respondent herein to consider his representation within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after giving due opportunity to the petitioner.

5. With the above direction, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No Costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (P&A)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Madurai District,
Madurai.
2. The District Manager,
Tamil Nadu Adi Dravidar Housing and
Development Corporation,
(TAHDCO), Madurai.
3. The Branch Manager,
Canara Bank, Tallakulam,
Madurai.
4. The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank, Karungalakudi,
Melur Taluk, Madurai District.

+1cc to Mr.M.Sathiamoorthy, Advocate, SR.No.63710.

W.P. (MD) No.9663 of 2018

and

W.M.P.Nos 8909 and 8910 of 2018

26.04.2018

aav

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

RAM/JC/SAR 4/22.05.2018/2P/6C