




third respondent lodged a complaint before the second respondent against the petitioner and the same was closed, after the enquiry and subsequently, the third respondent lodged another complaint against the petitioner. He further submitted that since already the complaint was closed, there is no necessity to summon the petitioner again and hence he prays for passing of an appropriate order.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that the earlier complaint was closed, after the enquiry. But thereafter, the third respondent gave another complaint to the first respondent and the same has been forwarded to the second respondent and in order to dispose of the said petition, the petitioner has to appear before the second respondent.

4.Considering the both sides' submissions, the petitioner is directed to appear before the second respondent for enquiry and at the time of enquiry, the second respondent should not harass the petitioner.

5.With the aforesaid observations, this criminal original petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(AD-II)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Commissioner of Police,
Office of the Commissioner,
Madurai City.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Anna Nagar Police Station,
Madurai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.B.SUKUMAR,Advocate,SR. 40612

Crl.O.P.(MD) No. 17941 of 2017
03.01.2018

RMK