



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 25.04.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR
W.P. (MD) No.9180 of 2018

WEB COPY

S.Vinoth

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Jeeyapuram Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.

3.The Sub Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records pertaining to the third Respondent's letter, dated NIL and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the second and third respondents to permit the petitioner to conduct the Kabadi Match which is scheduled to be held on 30.04.2018 at about 08.00 pm to 01.05.2018 at about 06.00 pm by providing adequate police protection and permission.

For Petitioner	: Mr.M.Karunanithi,
For Respondents	: Mr.P.Kannidevan, Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

This writ petition has been filed seeking to quash the impugned order passed by the third respondent, dated 29.03.2018 and consequently direct the third respondent to give the permission for conducting Kabadi Match which is scheduled to be held on 30.04.2018 at about 08.00 pm to 01.05.2018 at about 06.00 pm by providing adequate police protection and permission.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondent.

3.According to the petitioner, he made a representation to the third respondent to grant permission to conduct Kabadi programme to be held on 30.04.2018. The said application was rejected on the ground that if the permission is granted, it will create a law and order problem. Challenging the aforesaid order, the present writ



petition has been filed.

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the third respondent has considered the said representation and rejected the same on the ground that if the permission is granted, it will create a law and order problem and therefore, the petitioner's representation was rightly rejected by the third respondent.

5. For the reasons stated in the impugned passed by the third respondent rejecting the representation of the petitioner on the ground that if the permission is granted, it will create a law and order problem and at the same time, there is no material has been placed before this Court to substantiate his contention for the rejection.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court directs the petitioner to make a fresh representation before the Sub Inspector of Police, Manachanallur Police Station, Trichy District, within two days from today. If any such representation is received within the date, the Sub Inspector of Police, Manachanallur Police Station, Trichy District shall consider the same and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law on or before 29.04.2018, by taking note of the order passed by this Court in WP (MD) No. 7911 of 2018 dated 12.04.2018.

7. With the above direction, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
O/o. Jeeyapuram Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District.

3. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Manachanallur Police Station,
Trichy District.

+1cc to Mr. M. Karunanithi, Advocate, SR.No. 63572

+1cc to M/s. Special Government Pleader, SR.No. 64169

W.P. (MD) No. 9180 of 2018

25.04.2018

AM

KK/SV MMS/26.04.2018/SAR-1/2P-6C