



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twelfth day of December Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CRL OP(MD) No.21607 of 2018

SONAIMUTHU

... PETITIONER / SOLE ACCUSED

Vs

STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TALUK POLICE STATION, PALANI,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
IN CRIME NO. 373 OF 2018

... RESPONDENT / RESPONDENT

For Petitioner : MR.M.MURUGESAN Advocate

For Respondent : MR.S.CHANDRASEKAR, Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 307 IPC in Crime No.373 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner and the defacto complainant are relatives. Due to some wordy quarrel, the petitioner is said to have attacked the defacto complainant with aruval. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against him and he had nothing to do with the alleged offence.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that injured has already been discharged from the hospital.

5.Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions by learned counsel on either side, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Palani, Dindigul District on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the said Magistrate, on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fail to surrender before the said Magistrate within a period of fifteen days, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

sd/-

12/12/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PALANI, DINDIGUL.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DINDIGUL.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TALUK POLICE STATION,
PALANI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.M.MURUGESAN Advocate SR.No.23250

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.21607 of 2018

Date :12/12/2018

JM/JC/SAR 4/18.12.2018/2P/6C