



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 26.04.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH

W.P.(MD) No.8543 of 2018

Nathiya

... Petitioner

vs.

1. The Revenue Divisional officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to release the petitioner's vehicle of Lorry, bearing registration No.TN65 F 5206 from the custody of the second respondent and to hand over the above lorry to the petitioner, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 10.04.2018 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner	: Mr.Jeyakarthik
For Respondents	: Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah, Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

The petitioner seeks for a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to release the petitioner's vehicle of Lorry, bearing registration No.TN65 F 5206 from the custody of the second respondent and to hand over the above lorry to the petitioner, by considering the petitioner's representation dated 10.04.2018 within the time limit that may be stipulated by this Court.

2.According to the petitioner, even though the driver had plied the aforesaid vehicle with all relevant documents, the second respondent has seized the vehicle without any reason.

3.On the other hand, it is submitted by the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents that the vehicle was seized as the same was plied without valid papers, including registration certificate books and transport permit for transporting sand.



4. In any event, as the vehicle is under the custody of the respondents having been seized on 07.04.2018 and considering the fact that if the same is allowed to be kept idle by exposing the same to sun and rain, it would certainly diminish its value. Therefore, pending proceedings before the respondents, this Court is of the view that the vehicle can be released by imposing certain conditions on the petitioner for release of the aforesaid vehicle.

5. Accordingly, the first respondent is directed to release the vehicle in question to the petitioner within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order subject to the following conditions:

- "(i) The petitioner shall produce documents before the second respondent under whose custody the vehicle is kept, to establish the ownership of the vehicle in question;*
- (ii) The petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) before the first respondent herein;*
- (iii) The petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future and shall produce the same as and when required by the respondents;*
- (iv) On doing so, the vehicle in question shall be returned to the petitioner;*
- (v) The petitioner shall not alienate the vehicle in question till the disposal of the proceedings before the authority concerned;*
- (vi) The first respondent directed to expedite the proceedings and pass a final order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order; and*
- (vii) The petitioner is also directed to participate in the enquiry to be conducted by the first respondent."*

6. This writ petition is ordered accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True Copy/



To:

1. The Revenue Divisional officer,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1CC to Special Government Pleader, SR No.64519.
+1CC to Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthik Advocate, SR No.63820.

PNN

DS/RSK/SAR-4: 04.05.2018: 3P/5C

W.P. (MD) No.8543 of 2018
26.04.2018