



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Sixteenth day of April Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRL OP(MD) Nos.5684 & 5852 of 2018

M.PORKODI ... PETITIONER / ACCUSED rank not known
in CRL OP(MD) No.5684 of 2018

S.MURUGA PANDIAN ... PETITIONER / ACCUSED rank not known
in CRL OP(MD) No.5852 of 2018

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH, TRICHY CITY.
(CRIME NO.NOT KNOWN OF 2018). ... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT
(in both petitions)

For Petitioner : M/S.B.JAMEEL ARASU Advocate (in both petitions)

For Respondent : Mr.A.ROBINSON,
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)(in both petitions)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners are apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC in Crime No.12 of 2018 on the file of the respondent police and seek anticipatory bail.

2.One Ravichandran is the defacto complainant. His complaint against the petitioners herein is that the petitioners contacted him in June, 2017 and they received a sum of Rs.30,00,000/- (Rupees Thirty Lakhs Only), with an assurance that his investment will yield good returns, in instalments from the defacto complainant. Only thereafter, the defacto complainant came to know that the petitioners herein borrowed money from a number of persons. Therefore, the defacto complainant wanted to pull out of the arrangement and sought return of his invested amount. But the petitioners have been adopting an evasive approach and not only did not return the money to the defacto complainant but also closed the



enterprise, which they were running. The transfer of fund from the defacto complainant in favour of the accused is established beyond doubt. Since the said amount is to be recovered from the petitioners, custodial interrogation is absolutely necessary.

3. It is submitted by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State that the police are presently enquiring on the allegation that many other persons have been cheated similarly.

4. Taking note of this aspect, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition for anticipatory bail is dismissed.

sd/-
16/04/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CITY CRIME BRANCH, TRICHY CITY.
- 2 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) Nos. 5684 & 5852 of 2018
Date :16/04/2018

SDS/CM:VR/ASVM/20.04.2018/2P/3C