



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 20.08.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.[MD]No.7759 of 2018

K.Kannaiyan

: Petitioner

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Tiruchirappalli District,
Tiruchirappalli.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
C.B.C.I.D., Trichy.
5. Latha,
Block Development Officer,
(Village Panchayat),
Panchayat Union, Mannachanallur,
Trichy District.
6. S.Ramesh,
S/o. Sannasi,
Ex-President,
Siruganoor Village Panchayat,
Mannachanallur Panchayat Union,
Trichy District.
7. Tamilselvan,
S/o. Periyasamy,
Secretary,
Siruganur Village Panchayat,
Mannachanallur Taluk,
Trichy District.

: Respondents

and further

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Lenin Kumar
For Respondents 1 to 5 : Mr.B.Bhagawathi
Government Advocate
For Respondent No.6 : P.Mahendran
For Respondent No.7 : Mr.V.Muthu Samundeeswaran

This writ petition has been filed for a direction to the second respondent to transfer the investigation pending in Crime No.299 of 2016 from the file of the third respondent to the file of the fourth respondent.

2.It is the case of the petitioner that several maladministration, misappropriation, embezzlement has been done at Siruganur Village Panchayat from the year 2006 to 2016 and the same has resulted in both departmental proceedings against the respondents 6 and 7 and also registration of FIR. The grievance of the petitioner is that in spite of registration of the FIR, no progress has been made in the investigation.

3. The learned Counsel for the sixth and seventh respondents would submit that the petitioner has no *locus standi* to file this writ petition and the petitioner was a person who contested in the President election against the sixth respondent and therefore, he has a personal grudge in filing one petition after another against the sixth and seventh respondents and there is absolutely no *bona fide* in this writ petition.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of respondents 1 to 5 would submit that the case is under investigation and at this point of time, there is no necessity to change the investigation to other agency.

<https://hcservices.ecounts.gov.in/hcservices/>



respondent is directed to effectively supervise the investigation done by the third respondent.

6.The Writ Petition is disposed of, with the above direction.
No costs.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (T&P)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

To

1. The District Collector,
Tiruchirappalli District,
Tiruchirappalli.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
Trichy District,
Trichy.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.
4. The Inspector of Police,
C.B.C.I.D., Trichy.
5. The Block Development Officer,
(Village Panchayat),
Panchayat Union, Mannachanallur,
Trichy District.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.T.LENINKUMAR, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 79381
+ 1 CC TO Mr.P.MAHENDRAN, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 79428
+ 1 CC TO M/s.J.MADHU, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 79336

MR

TE/SKN/SAR-1 : 17/09/2018 : 3P/9C

W.P.[MD]No.7759 of 2018
20.08.2018