



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 04.04.2018

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

W.P. (MD) No.7313 of 2018

and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.7003 and 7004 of 2018

Vedanta Limited,
Unit- Sterlite Copper,
SIPCOT Industrial Complex,
Madurai Bypass Road,
Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu-628 002,
Rep. by its Authorized Signatory.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Madurai.
2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Tirunelveli.
3. The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.
4. The Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 4th respondent to act on the petitioner's representations dated 05.02.2018, 28.02.2018, 06.03.2018 and 24.03.2018 and accord police protection to the employees, plant and machinery at the premises of the petitioner company thereby ensuring unhindered ingress and egress to and from the premises of the petitioner company at SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Therkuveerapandiapuram Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.

For Petitioner : Mr.Satish Parasuran, Senior Counsel
for R.Parthasarathy

For Respondents : Mr.Chellapandian,
Additional Advocate General,
assisted by Mr.N.Shanmugaselvam,
Additional Government Pleader

**ORDER**

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the fourth respondent to act on the petitioner's representations dated 05.02.2018, 28.02.2018, 06.03.2018 and 24.03.2018 and accord police protection to the employees, plant and machinery at the premises of the petitioner company thereby ensuring unhindered ingress and egress to and from the premises of the petitioner company at SIPCOT Industrial Complex, Therkuveerapandiapuram Village, Ottapidaram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner has made representations to the fourth respondent / Superintendent of Police, Thoothukudi, on various occasions to accord adequate police protection to the petitioner company and its employees. Since no action has been taken and the protestors have blocked the free flow of vehicle movement, this present writ petition has been filed with the aforesaid prayer.

3. The learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the respondents opposed the submission made by the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner stating that the representations made by the petitioner has been considered and action also has been taken on several occasions by the fourth respondent. The same has been disclosed in paragraph No.5 of the counter affidavit. Therefore, there is no subsistence in the contention of the learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner.

4. In view of the above, it is open to the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the authorities concerned, if necessary. In the event of filing such representation, the authorities concerned are directed to consider the same and pass orders on merits and in accordance with law.

5. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar



1. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Madurai.

2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Tirunelveli.

3. The District Collector,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

4. The Superintendent of Police,
Thoothukudi District,
Thoothukudi.

+ 5 CC TO Mr.R.PARTHASARATHY, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 59905

TA

TE/SKN-RSK/SAR-4 : 13/04/2018 : 3P/10C

W.P. (MD) No. 7313 of 2018
04.04.2018