



## BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 01.03.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN

W.P(MD)Nos.935 to 937 of 2018

M.Balasubramani ... Petitioner in WP(MD)No.935 of 2018  
G.Siva Natarajan ... Petitioner in WP(MD)No.936 of 2018  
G.Deivaarul ... Petitioner in WP(MD)No.937 of 2018

Vs.

The Registrar,  
Bharathidasan University,  
Trichirappalli - 24.

... Respondents in all writ petitions

**Prayer in WP(MD)No.935 of 2018 :** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to disburse the arrears of fellowship for sum of Rs.7,45,833/- worked in the Project "ELECGIS2", "UZONMAP" and "NREGAGIT" with 12% interest and consequently consider the representation dated 01.12.2017.

**Prayer in WP(MD)No.936 of 2018 :** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to disburse the arrears of fellowship for sum of Rs.8,60,258/- worked in the Project "ELECGIS2", "UZONMAP" with 12% interest and consequently consider the representation dated 30.11.2017.

**Prayer in WP(MD)No.937 of 2018 :** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to disburse the arrears of fellowship for sum of Rs.2,98,833/- worked in the Project "ELECGIS2", "UZONMAP" with 12% interest and consequently consider the representation dated 30.11.2017.

For Petitioner  
in all cases


: Mr.Arun Jayatram

For Respondents  
in all cases

: Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan,  
Special Govt.Pleader

**COMMON ORDER**

The petitioners have worked in various capacities in the projects done under the auspices of the respondent university. It



is submitted that one Dr.S.Vasudevan was appointed as the Project Director. Projects are to be entrusted and fellowship amounts will be remitted by the concerned entities with the respondent university and only through the respondent university, fellowship amounts will be disbursed to the individual associates. The grievance of the petitioners is that they had already completed their projects. Arrears of substantial sums of money is due and payable to them by the University.

2.The learned standing counsel appearing for the university would submit that no doubt the petitioners have worked in more than one project. But, then on account of sudden demise of Dr.Vasudevan, Project Director, it is not known at the behest of which agency the projects were undertaken. Therefore, the representations of the petitioners were rejected as the details of the projects could not be unravelled. As and when the university is in receipt of the funds from the project sponsors, fellowship amounts will be duly disbursed.

3.Since disputed facts are involved, this Court is unable to issue a positive direction straight away in the first instance. However, it is the duty of the respondent to consider the petitioners' representation and pass orders thereon. The case of the petitioners herein will be considered on consolidated basis and appropriate orders shall be passed by the respondent within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4.These writ petitions are disposed of accordingly. No costs.

Sd/-  
Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

The Registrar,  
Bharathidasan University,  
Trichirappalli - 24.

+3cc to Mr.Arun Jayatram, Advocate, SR.No.52279.

W.P (MD) Nos.935 to  
937 of 2018  
01.03.2018

skm