



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 23.01.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE N.KIRUBAKARAN
AND
THE HONOURABLE Mrs.JUSTICE R.THARANI

W.P. (MD) .No.933 of 2018
and

W.M.P. (MD) .Nos.981 and 982 of 2018

R.Shanmuga Rajeswaran

... Petitioner

Vs .

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
2. The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Assistant Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Parthibanoor Check Dam Section,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: This petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining to the impugned order dated 22.12.2017 on the file of the Respondent No.3 and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Louis
For Respondents : Mr.S.Dhayalan
Government Advocate

ORDER

By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal, at the stage of admission itself.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

2.Heard Mr.S.Louis, learned counsel appearing for the



petitioner and Mr.S.Dhayalan, learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the respondents.

3.According to the petitioner, the petitioner is an occupation of the land to an extent 912 Sq.ft., in Town Survey No.40/5A and constructed a dwelling house and residing there for the past 25 years. He was levied house tax for the property by the Municipality and he was also provided electricity supply and the same was being enjoyed by the petitioner. When things stand so, the third respondent issued the impugned notice under Section 6(1) of the Tamil Nadu Protection of Tanks and Eviction of Encroachment Act, 2007(hereinafter referred to as 'the Act'), directing the petitioner to vacate the premises within 21 days from the date of receipt of the notice. Challenging the said notice, the petitioner is before this Court.

4.Though under the Act there is no provision for giving show cause notice, still this Court upheld the Act in the case of **T.S.Senthil Kumar v. Government of Tamil Nadu, rep by its Secretary, Public Works Department, Chennai-9 and others**, reported in (2010) MLJ 771. While upholding the Act, the Division Bench of this Court held in Paragraph No.20 as follows:

"20.In the result, we dispose of the writ petition in the same lines adopting the same method which the Supreme Court done in the two cases in Mysore v. J.V.Bhat (supra) and (ii)Scheduled Caste & Weaker Section Welfare Association v. State of Karnataka (supra), where the Supreme Court dealt with the Mysore Slum (Improvement and Clearance) Act, 1959 and without declaring that the Act is unconstitutional since no opportunity is given, we will hold that there is nothing in the Act which excludes that principles of natural justice. The Act does not specifically indicate that the encroachers do not have a right to be heard and therefore we issue the following directions.

(a)The State shall scrupulously follow the provisions of the Act. It shall also ensure that all the District Collectors and other authorities, who are concerned with the observance of the provisions of the Act, strictly follow the letter, dated 10.10.2007.

(b)The District Collectors, while creating adequate awareness, may also enlist the help of Self Help Groups to disseminate the message that protection of water resources will actually promote the welfare of the villages and therefore, it is in the interest of every citizen to make sure that he is not encroaching on a tank and to clear tanks and



water bodies which are filled with garbage and to avoid dumping of garbage will automatically enhance and improve the public health of the community.

(c) As already stated, the State will ensure that alienation of tank poramboke lands, citing public interest, shall not be made under Section 12 of the Act. The meaning and weight of the words "public interest" shall be implicitly borne in mind.

(d) The State holds all the water bodies in public trust for the welfare of this generation and all the succeeding generations and therefore, protecting water bodies must be given as much weightage, if not more as allowing house-sites or other buildings to come up on such tanks or tank poramboke lands, and water charged lands.

(e) The State shall also bear in mind the provisions of this Act and the objects and reasons of this Act while issuing patta to persons who claim to have resided in the same place for a number of years and if necessary modify the relevant Government Orders to make sure that the implementation of these G.Os are not in violation of this very valuable and important Act, namely Tamil Nadu Protection of Tanks and Eviction of Encroachment Act, 2007.

(f) We uphold the Act, while we provide for observance of principles of natural justice within the Act itself, as under.

(i) When the officer of the Public Works Department publishes the notice in Form-II in the notice boards of the offices of Village Administrative Officer, Village Panchayat Office and the Water Resources Organization, notice shall also be issued to the alleged encroacher to the effect that the survey indicates that the place in his/her occupation is an encroachment and secondly, the notice is Form-II of the Rules may be issued.


(ii) On receipt of the said notice, the encroacher may give his/her objections relating to the classification of the land in his/her occupation and the nature of the encroachment within a period of two weeks.

(iii) Thereafter, the authorities shall consider the objections and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with the provisions of the Act, giving time to the encroachers to remove the encroachment."

5. In view of the above, it is the duty of the authorities to give notice to the petitioner and hear the petitioner and then pass a final order.

<https://hccs.courts.gov.in/>

6. Therefore, notice issued under Section 6 (1) of the Act



is directed to be treated as show cause notice and the petitioner shall give his reply to the above said notice within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. On receipt of the said reply, the third respondent is directed to hold an enquiry and after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner pass appropriate orders on merits and as per law, within a period of 8 weeks thereafter. Till such order is passed and the copy of the same is served upon the petitioner, the petitioner shall not be disturbed.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(AS)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.
2. The Tahsildar,
Paramakudi Taluk,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.
3. The Assistant Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Parthibanoor Check Dam Section,
Paramakudi,
Ramanathapuram District.

+ 1 CC TO Mr.S.LOUIS, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 43375
+ 1 CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER IN SR No. 44029

NS

TE/KK/SAR-4 : 05/02/2018 : 4P/6C

W.P.(MD).No.933 of 2018 and
W.M.P.(MD).Nos.981 and 982 of 2018
23.01.2018