



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED: 13.03.2018
CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.RAJAMANICKAM

W.P.(MD) No.5226 of 2018

WEB COPY

R.Veerappan Chettiar

... Petitioner

-Vs-

1. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

2. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Thirukostiyur Police Station,
Thirukostityur, Sivagangai District.

4. The Tahsildar,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Thiruppathur,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first and second respondents not to grant permission for conducting Jallikattu at Pattamangalam Village East Group-84, Thirupathur Taluk, Sivagangai District in the light of report submitted by the 4th respondent's proceedings in Na.Ka.No.A5/156/2018 dated 10.01.2018 on the basis of objection dated 14.02.2018 submitted by the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Saravanakumar

For Respondents : Mr.N.Shanmuga Selvam

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed to direct the respondents 1 and 2 not to grant permission for conducting Jallikkattu at Pattamangalam Village East Group-84, Thirupathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.

2.Heard, Mr.P.Saravanakumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.N.Shanmuga Selvam, learned Additional Government Pleader, appearing for the respondents.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that in the last year, without obtaining proper permission from the respondents, Jallikkattu was conducted, in which, the petitioner's property was severely damaged. Moreover,



the deities coming to the petitioner's temple also getting very much scared because of the said tampering of bulls. He further submitted that already a case was registered in Crime No.53 of 2017 for the offence punishable under Sections 143 and 188 of IPC and thereafter, he requests to direct the respondents 1 and 2 not to grant permission for conducting Jallikkattu.

4.The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents has submitted that the 4th respondent has submitted a report to the first respondent stating that the Pattamangalam Village is not suitable place for conducting Jallikkattu function and hence, the said report is under consideration of the first respondent.

5.Considering the aforesaid submissions, the first respondent is directed to consider the petitioner's representation on the line of the report submitted by the 4th respondent and pass appropriate order in accordance with law.

6.With the aforesaid observations, this writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.
2. The Superintendent of Police,
Sivagangai District, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
Thirukostiyur Police Station,
Thirukostityur, Sivagangai District.
4. The Tahsildar,
Thiruppathur Taluk,
Thiruppathur, Sivagangai District.

+1cc to M/s.Kalaiyarasi Bharathi, Advocate Sr.No.55262
+1cc to Spl.Government Pleader Sr.No.55160

DAS

VB/SKN/RSK/SAR1/27.03.2018/2P/7C

W.P.(MD) No.5226 of 2018
13.03.2018