



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 28.02.2018

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.4221 of 2018
and
W.M.P (MD) Nos.4361 and 4362 of 2018

1.Ramachandran
2.R.Balamurugan
3.Vetri

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
TASMAC, CMDA Tower,
Egmore, Chennai.

2. The Senior Regional Manager,
TASMAC, Trichy Zone,
Old Collector Office,
Trichy.

3. The District Manager,
TASMAC, SIPCOT,
Pudukottai District.


... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents to permit the petitioners to pay 3% of monthly demand from the 80% of total monthly sales in the light of the circular issued by the first respondent in his proceedings in RC.No. Q6/14999/2017 dated 21/12/2017 for the respective shops bearing Nos.6739 6764 and 6605 situated at Alangudi Taluk Pudukkottai District and consequently direct the respondents to refund the excess amount which has been received by the third respondent within the time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioners	: Mr.S.Vinayak
For Respondents	: Mr.H.Arumugam, Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking direction to the respondents to dispose of the representation of the petitioners



dated 28.12.2017 within the time stipulated by this Court.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners are running a bar attached to the TASMAL shops pursuant to the auction conducted by the respondents. At the time of conducting auction, the respondents fixed upset price at the rate of 3% of 100% of actual sale. However, the respondent TASMAL has reduced the upset price at the rate of 3% of 80% of actual sale in respect of other shops. Being aggrieved by the same, the petitioners have submitted representation dated 28.12.2017 before the respondents. Since no order has been passed, the present writ petitions have been filed.

3. Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents fairly submitted that the first respondent may be directed to pass orders on the representation of the petitioners.

4. In the above circumstances, the first respondent is directed to consider the representation of the petitioners dated 28.12.2017 and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, W.M.P(MD) Nos. 4361 and 4362 of 2018 are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+ 1 CC TO Mr.S.VINAYAK, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 51848

SMS

TE/SV/SAR-3 : 03/05/2018 : 2P/2C

Writ Petition (MD) No.4221 of 2018 and
W.M.P(MD) Nos.4361 and 4362 of 2018
28.02.2018