



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.02.2018

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. BHARATHIDASAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.3524 of 2018

and

W.M.P(MD) Nos.3659 and 3660 of 2018

R.Sakthivel

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
St., George Fort,
Chennai 9.

2.The Director of Government Examination,
College Road, Nungampakkam, Chennai 34.

3.The Regional Director of Government Examination,
Tirunelveli-1.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records from the third respondent relating to the impugned memo order passed in his proceedings in Na.Ka.No.18232/Aa7/2017 dated 25.01.2018, quash the same and direct the second respondent to give age relaxation and exemption to the petitioner's son Ramachandran, Son of Sakthivel 2/33, Kaliyamman Kovil Street, Veerapatti, Virudhunagar District like a regular school student and direct the third respondent to allow the petitioner's son Ramachandran to write the Plus 2 Examination as private candidate on 01.03.2018 or on any subsequent day by accepting the application and the examination fees once again all to be done within the time to be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Senthurpandian


For Respondents : Mr.Aayiram K.Selvakumar,
Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

Challenging the order passed by the third respondent not permitting the petitioner's son to write +2 examination as a private candidate on the ground that the petitioner's son did not complete 16 ½ years, the present writ petition has been filed.

2.According to the petitioner, the petitioner's son was born on 12.11.2001 and he has passed 10th examination in March 2016, and joined +2 course, now has completed his +2 course, he has to write +2 final examination to be held in March 2018. But by order dated 25.01.2018, the third respondent prevented the petitioner's son from writing +2 examination on the ground that the petitioner's son did not complete 16 ½ years.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/> 3.Admittedly, the petitioner's son did not complete 16½ years and as per G.O.Ms.No.122, Education Department, dated



25.02.1992, a student who has completed 16 ½ years alone is eligible to write +2 examination. Since the petitioner's son did not complete 16 ½ years, the third respondent has rightly prevented him from writing +2 examination for this year. I find no infirmity in the order passed by the third respondent. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, W.M.P(MD)Nos.3659 and 3660 of 2018 are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CSIII)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

- 1.The Principal Secretary to Government,
School Education Department,
St., George Fort,
Chennai 9.
- 2.The Director of Government Examination,
College Road, Nungampakkam,
Chennai 34.
- 3.The Regional Director of Government Examination,
Tirunelveli-1.

+One cc to The Special Government Pleader, SR.No.50616

+One cc to Mr.P.Senthur Pandian, Advocate, SR.No.50865

sms

RL/6C/2P/SV/MMS/SAR1/22/3/2018

Writ Petition (MD) No.3524 of 2018

21.02.2018