



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.02.2018

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.R. SWAMINATHAN

W.P. (MD) .No.3473 of 2018

S.Ravichandran

...Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Additional Chief Secretary,
Transport Department,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600009.
2. The Managing Director,
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation (MDU) Ltd.,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai.
3. The Administrator,
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation
Employees Pensions Fund Trust,
Thiruvalluvar Illam,
Pallavan Salai, Chennai-600 002.
4. The Finance Advisor and Chief Accounts Officer,
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation (MDU) Ltd.,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai.
5. The Deputy Manager,
(Provident Fund)
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation (MDU) Ltd.,
Bye pass Road, Madurai.
6. M.Sugumar,
Finance Advisor and Chief Accounts Officer,
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation (MDU) Ltd.,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai.
7. J.Ramesh Kumar,
Deputy Manager,
(Provident Fund)
TamilNadu State Transport Corporation (MDU) Ltd.,
Bye Pass Road, Madurai.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to take action against the respondents 4 to 7 for their action of



giving incorrect bank account details of the petitioner's pension account to the third respondent, within the time framed fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gokul
For R-1 : Mr.M.Jeyakumar
Additional Government Pleader
For R-2 to R-7 : Mr.A.Jeyaram

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel on either side.

2.The petitioner was a retired employee of the respondent/Corporation. His grievance is that incorrect details were furnished by the private respondents herein. He therefore wants action to be taken against the respondents 4 to 7 for the lapse committed by them.

3.This Court has consistently taken the view that the decision to take disciplinary action against the employee is to be exercised solely at the discretion of the employer concerned. It is not open to a third party to seek issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, for directing the employer to take disciplinary action against the concerned employee. The petitioner has no *locus-standi* to make such a request.

4.The Writ Petition is therefore dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Additional Chief Secretary,
Transport Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai-600009.

+1CC to Special Govt.Pleader, (SR No.50914)

TSG
VB/JC/SAR-1 :03.05.2018: 2P/3C