



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 12.03.2018

CORAM:

WEB COPY

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.R.SWAMINATHAN**

W.P.(MD)Nos.3199 and 3200 of 2018  
and  
W.M.P.(MD)Nos.3358 and 3359 of 2018

M.Mohamed Farook ... Petitioner in both W.Ps.

-Vs-

1.The Regional Transport Authority,  
Pudukottai.

2.The Regional Transport Officer,  
Pudukkottai.

3.M/s.Royal India Motor Company,  
No.26, Mohamadiyar Colony,  
Kalif Nagar 4<sup>th</sup> Street,  
Pudukkottai.

...Respondents in both W.Ps.

**Prayer in W.P.(MD)No.3199 of 2018:** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 herein to consider the petition dated 06.01.2018 of the petitioner herein with regard to third respondent bus & permit TN 63 T 4262 (Stage Carriage Spare Bus).

**Prayer in W.P.(MD)No.3200 of 2018:** Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 herein to consider the petition dated 06.01.2018 of the petitioner herein with regard to third respondent bus & permit TN 55 V 7899 on the route Pudukkottai to Sivagangai, Via Thirumayam Keelasevalpatti, Thirupatthur, Pattamangalam, Chockkanathapuram, Paganeri, Nattarsankottai.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Padmanabhan  
For R1 and R2 : Mr.K.Saravanan, Government Advocate  
For R3 : Mr.H.S.Mohamed Rafi  
For M/s.Ajmal Associates  
(In both Writ Petitions)

**COMMON ORDER**

The petitioner wants a direction to direct the respondents 1 and 2 to consider his petition dated 06.01.2018.



2.The grievance of the petitioner is that the third respondent is intending to alienate the bus and permit to third parties and that he should be heard before any approval of transfer is accorded by the authorities. This Court therefore ordered notice.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the third respondent submits that as of now, the third respondent has no intention to alienate the said bus and permit. The learned counsel appearing for the third respondent further submits that he has not so far submitted any application for replacement of the vehicle or for alienation.

4.Therefore, as on date, the writ petition is premature. As and when any such application is made, it is open to the parties herein to agitate their respective rights in accordance with law. It is made clear that the rights of the parties are left open. Nothing has been adjudicated in the writ petitions. These writ petitions are closed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Regional Transport Authority,  
Pudukottai.

2.The Regional Transport Officer,  
Pudukkottai.

+1CC TO SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SR NO.54925  
+1CC TO M/S.D.RAMESHKUMAR, ADVOCATE, SR NO.54680  
+1CC TO M/S.AJMAL ASSOCIATES, ADVOCATE, SR NO.55008

W.P. (MD) Nos.3199 and 3200 of 2018  
12.03.2018

Myr

**MS/SKN-RSK/SAR-1/17.04.2018/2P.6C**