



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 18.01.2018

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.RAJAMANICKAM

Crl.O.P. (MD) Nos.786 and 795 of 2018

in Crl.O.P. (MD) No.786 of 2018

Parameswari

... Petitioner

vs.

1. State through
The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition of Enforcement Wing,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner and her daughter based on the enquiry.

For Petitioner : Mr.Prabhakaran
For Respondents : Mr.Prabhu Ramachandran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

in Crl.O.P. (MD) No.795 of 2018

Parameswari

... Petitioner

vs.

1. State through
The Superintendent of Police,
Karur District,
Karur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition of Enforcement Wing,
Karur,
Karur District.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, to direct the second respondent not to harass the petitioner and her daughter based on the enquiry.



For Petitioner : Mr.Prabhakaran
For Respondents : Mr.Prabhu Ramachandran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

COMMON ORDER

WEB COPY

These Criminal Original Petitions have been filed to direct the respective second respondent not to harass the petitioner and her daughter under the guise of enquiry.

2.In both cases, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the second respondent has registered a case against the petitioner's husband under the Tamil Nadu Prohibition Act, 1937 and after knowing the said fact, the petitioner's husband surrendered before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Madurai and he has been remanded to judicial custody. He further submitted that the second respondent is frequently coming to the house of the petitioner and harassing her and her college going daughter under the guise of enquiry.

3.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondents has submitted that since a case has been registered against the petitioner's husband, he surrendered before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Madurai and he has been remanded to judicial custody. The allegation that the second respondent in both the petitions are frequently visiting the petitioner's house and harassing the petitioner and her daughter is false.

4.Recording the aforesaid submission, the second respondent in both the petitions are directed not to harass the petitioner and her daughter without any complaint filed against them.

5.With the above direction, these criminal original petitions are disposed of.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Dindigul District,
Dindigul.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition of Enforcement Wing,
Dindigul,
Dindigul District.



3. The Superintendent of Police,
Karur District,
Karur.

4. The Inspector of Police,
Prohibition of Enforcement Wing,
Karur,
Karur District.

5. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+ 2 CC TO Mr.PRABHAKARAN, ADVOCATE IN SR No. 42936, 42935.

MM

TE/JC/SAR-3 : 06/02/2018 : 3P/8C

Cr1.O.P.(MD)Nos.786 and 795 of 2018
18.01.2018