



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty Fourth day of January Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.758 of 2018

R.AASIYABEGAM

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED No.27

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CCIW/CID, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.5/2017

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Sections 406, 409, 467, 468, 471, 477-A and Section 34 IPC in Crime No.05 of 2017, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner's self help group borrowed loan of Rs.75,000/- and failed to repay the said loan amount of Rs.46,112/-. Hence, the complaint has been registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she is no way connected with the offences, and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent Police submitted that the investigation is still pending.

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered. This case has been registered for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 409, 467, 468, 471, 477-A and Section 34 IPC. It is alleged that during the time of occurrence, the



petitioner and other accused in this case availed loan from the de facto complainant, after executing documents. Accordingly, if the loan is not paid by the petitioner, remedy is available before the appropriate forum. Per contra, based on the complaint given by the de facto complainant, this case has been registered against the petitioner. Considering the nature of offence committed by the petitioner, custodial interrogation is not necessary for completing the investigation.

6. Taking all the above said aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.III, Madurai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-
24/01/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.III, MADURAI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MADURAI DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CCIW/CID, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.R.SENTHIL KUMAR Advocate SR.No.1299

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.758 of 2018

Date :24/01/2018

SMA/CM-VR/SAR-2/31.01.2018:3P/6c