



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Seventh day of March Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.4814 of 2018

1 DILIP KUMAR,
2 SELVAM,

... PETITIONERS/ ACCUSED NO.1 & 2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY ITS,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADALADI POLICE STATION,
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT.
CR.NO.19 OF 2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.N.RAMESH ARUMUGAM Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON, Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/A-1 and A-2, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Sections 294 (b), 323, 324 and , 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.19 of 2018, seek anticipatory bail.


2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioners in this case, abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language, assaulted him with deadly weapons and he sustained injuries. Hence, a case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent persons, they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State submitted that injured has been discharged from the hospital. According to him, investigation is still pending.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

5. The submission made by the learned counsel appearing on



either side are considered. It is alleged, during the time of occurrence, due to previous enmity, the petitioners in this crime abused and assaulted the defacto complainant by using the deadly weapons, thereby, he sustained injuries. According to prosecution, as of now, the injured has been discharged from the hospital, after completing the treatment. Apart from that, except under Section 506 (ii) I.P.C., all other petition mentioned offences are bailable in nature. So, custodial interrogation of the petitioners may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Muthukulathoor, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-
27/03/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



msa
TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
MUTHUKULATHOOR

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
RAMANATHAPURAM DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KADALADI POLICE STATION, RAMNAD DT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK, Advocate SR.No.4991

GJM/CM/VR/SAR-4-2.4.18-3P-6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.4814 of 2018

Date :27/03/2018