



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.04.2018

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.KRISHNAKUMAR

WEB COPY

CRL.O.P.(MD)No.4393 of 2018

1.Ramachandran

2.Mohini

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.State, represented by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam
Madurai District.
(Crime No.60 of 2017)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Karthigarani

.. 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.60 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioners	: Mr.R.Maheswaran
For R1	: Mr.A.Robinson
	Government Advocate (Crl.side)
For R2	: Mr.V.Manikandan

O R D E R

On the complaint lodged by one Karthigarani, the second respondent herein, the first respondent police has registered a case in Crime No.60 of 2017 for the offence punishable under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code against the petitioners herein, for quashing the same, the petitioners and the defacto complainant are before this Court on the ground that they have arrived at a compromise.

2. Today, when the matter was taken up for hearing, the defacto complainant/second respondent herein and the petitioners are present in the Court and their identifications were also verified by this Court, in addition to the confirmation of the identity of the parties by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) and the respective counsel of the petitioners and the second respondent.

3. The petitioners and the second respondent have filed a joint compromise memo, wherein, it is stated as follows:

"3. The petitioners further submit that the entire issue resolves around the dispute which is purely of matrimonial dispute in nature. The petitioners and the



defacto complainant settled the issue amicably and they were not coerced or compelled by anybody for compromise.

4. The petitioners as well as the second respondent arrived compromise and amicably settled the issue with the help of elders and friends. The 2nd respondent/defacto complainant will not pursue her remedy for the same cause of action and she has no objection for quashing Crime No.60 of 2017 on the file of the 1st respondent police.

Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to accept this joint memo filed by both parties and quash the FIR in Crime No.60 of 2017 on the file of the 1st respondent police and thus render justice."

4. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the matter pending. Therefore, this petition is allowed and the entire proceedings in Crime No.60 of 2017 on the file of the first respondent police in respect of the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 are hereby quashed. The joint compromise memo shall form part of this order. Consequently, Crl.MP(MD)No.2160 of 2018 is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

Enclosure: Xerox Copy of Joint Compromise Memo

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam
Madurai District.

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to M/S.V.Manikandan, Advocate SR.No. 60174

CRL.O.P.(MD) No.4393 of 2018

mj

JM/KKR/SAR 3/17.04.2018/2P/4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>