



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the Fifteenth day of March Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.4113 of 2018

SELVAM

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED
(RANK NOT KNOWN)

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CYBER CRIME CELL-CCB,
TIRUNELVELI CITY.
CRIME NO.8 OF 2018

... RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.T.A.EBENEZER, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.A.ROBINSON, Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 500, 505(1)(b) and 506(i) I.P.C., in Crime No.8 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, the petitioner along with other accused in this case had made a defamatory allegation against the defacto complainant through whatsapp and also abused him by using the filthy language. Hence, a case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has been falsely implicated, further added that he has not committed any offence as alleged, and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State submitted that investigation is still pending.

5. The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side are considered. It is alleged, during the time of occurrence, the petitioner and other three accused in this case had made a defamatory words against the defacto complainant through whatsapp and abused him by using the filthy language. So, considering the nature of offence committed by the petitioner, custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Tirunelveli, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks, thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
15/03/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.1
TIRUNELVELI

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CYBER CRIME CELL-CCB,
TIRUNELVELI CITY.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.T.A.EBENEZER Advocate SR.No.4162

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.4113 of 2018

Date :15/03/2018

PK/PN/SAR-1/03.04.2018 : 3P/6C