



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.03.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.RAJAMANICKAMCr1.O.P.(MD) No.3965 of 2018

1.Selvam

2.Maharajan

3.Maheswari

4.Muniammal

5.Santha

6.Muthu

7.Meenakshi

8.Mari

9.Kamatchi

..Petitioners/ Accused Nos.1 to 9

-Vs-

1.State Represented by
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Thirunagar Police Station.
(Cr.No.431 of 2013)

... Respondent No.1/ Complainant

2.Kaleeswari

... Respondent No.2/ Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records of the FIR in Cr.No.431 of 2013 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioners	:Mr.S.Sankarapandian
For Respondents	:Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu
	Government Advocate (Cr1.side)
	for R1
	:Mr.S.P.Vijay Nivas
	For R2

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>ORDER

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the records in Cr.No.431 of 2013 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.



2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

WEB COPY

3. The petitioners are accused Nos. 1 to 9 in Crime No.431 of 2013. Based on the complaint lodged by the second respondent, a case was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 448, 294(b), 342, 323 and 506(i) IPC r/w 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act.

4. It appears that the petitioners and the second respondent, namely, the de-facto complainant have settled their dispute amicably out of Court and they have also entered into a compromise, on the advise of elders and their relatives. A Joint Compromise Memo, signed by both parties, in the presence of their respective counsel, is also produced before this Court. As per the Joint Compromise Memo, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent, has no objection for quashing the FIR in Cr.No. 431 of 2013 on the file of the first respondent.

5. The parties appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed the Joint Compromise Memo on their own free will and volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) through the first respondent police.

6. Having regard to the specific terms of the Joint Compromise Memo, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence the FIR in Cr.No.431 of 2013 on the file of the first respondent / The Sub Inspector of Police, Thirunagar Police Station, against the accused Nos.1 to 9, is quashed. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original petition is allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(RTI)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

COMPROMISE MEMO ATTACHED

To

1. The Sub Inspector of Police, Thirunagar Police Station, Madurai

2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,

Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

FIC to M/S.S.Sankarapandian, Advocate, SR.No.57184

Rmk

RL/4C/2P/SV/MMS/SAR1/18/4/2018

CrI.O.P.(MD) No.3965 of 2018

21.03.2018(2/2)