



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Sixteenth day of March Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.3954 of 2018

PRABHU

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.
(CRIME NO.177 OF 2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

PRADEEP

... PETITIONER / INTERVENER

For Petitioner : M/S.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

For Intervenor : MR.S.ALAGUSUNDAR Advocate

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 341, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC., in Crime No.177 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the petitioner and the defacto complainant standing near the Mill gate in Melur, there was a wordy quarrel arose between them, due to which, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant by using filthy language, assaulted him by using lethal weapons, caused severe injuries and also made a life threat to the defacto complainant. Hence, the case has been registered for the above said incident.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed for anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor submitted that after discharging from the Government Hospital, the injured has been taking treatment in a private hospital.



5. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the respondent on instructions, would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. According to the prosecution, the investigation is in progress.

6. The submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for either side are considered. According to the prosecution, the person, who sustained injury during the time of occurrence was discharged from the hospital after completing treatment. Further, except the offence under Section 506(ii) of IPC., all other offences registered against this petitioner are bailable in nature. Hence, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Melur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(iv) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

16/03/2018

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, MELUR.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MELUR POLICE STATION, MADURAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.M.S.JEYAKARTHIK Advocate SR.No.4272

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3954 of 2018

Date :16/03/2018

MKV-PN-SAR 4/21.3.2018/3P-6C