



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Ninth day of January Two Thousand Eighteen
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.354 of 2018

CHANDRA

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.5

Vs

1 STATE REP.BY

THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PATTIVEERANPATTI POLICE STATION,
DINDIGUL DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT/ COMPLAINANT

2 MAHESWARI

... RESPONDENT/ DEFACTO- COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.M.SANKAR, Advocate

For Respondent : M/S.S.BHARATHI, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Section 306 of IPC in Crime No.18 of 2011 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner induced the husband of the de facto complainant for committing suicide and that he died.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and she is no way connected with the offence, and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) submitted that initially the case was registered under Section 174 Cr.P.C. and after investigation, it was altered into 306 IPC. He further submitted that the investigation is completed and charge sheet has been filed.

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel on either side are considered. This case has been registered for the offences punishable under Section 306 of IPC. As per the prosecution, investigation is completed and charge sheet has been filed. Therefore, the question of interrogation does not arise for further proceedings.



6. Taking all the above said aspects into consideration and having regard to the nature of offence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nilakottai, Dindigul District, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

09/01/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NILAKOTTAI, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
2. DO-THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DINDIGUL.
3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PATTIVEERANPATTI POLICE STATION, DINDIGUL DISTRICT.
4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.M.SANKAR Advocate SR.No.361

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.354 of 2018

Date :09/01/2018