



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Sixth day of March Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.3503 of 2018

KUMAR

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED NO.2

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PANAGUDI POLICE STATION,
IN CR.NO.112 OF 2018,
THENI DISTRICT.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.M.SUBASH BABU Advocate

For Respondent : MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner/A2, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Section 379 I.P.C., r/w 21(i) of Mines and Mineral Act, in Crime No.112 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that based on the secret information, the police officials went to the occurrence place and found that the petitioner has illegally transported the ¼ unit of sand by using the TATA ACE. Hence a case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has been falsely implicated in this case, he has not committed any offence as alleged, and pleads for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner herein is the driver of the vehicle. As of now, the stolen properties as well as the vehicle, which was used for the commission offence, have been recovered by the respondent police. According to him, investigation is still pending.



occurrence, the petitioner committed the theft of sand by using the TATA ACE. According to prosecution, as of now, the stolen property and the vehicle, which was used for the commission of offence have been recovered. Hence, custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Valliyoor, condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

(ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

(iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-

06/03/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, VALLIYOOR.
- 2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PANAGUDI POLICE STATION, THENI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.M.SUBASH BABU Advocate SR.No.3683

ORDER IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3503 of 2018

Date :06/03/2018