



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 13.03.2018

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **P.RAJAMANICKAM**

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3452 of 2018

Arunachalam ...Petitioner/Sole Accused
-Vs-

State through by
1. The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Town Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
(Crime No.51 of 2018) ... Respondent/Complainant

2.Shankar ... Respondent/ Defacto
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.51 of 2018 on the file of the first respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Subash Babu
For R-1 : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)
For R-2 : Mr.Naveenkumar

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the records relating to the FIR in Crime No.51 of 2018 dated 31.01.2018 on the file of the first respondent police and quash the same.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

3.The petitioner is the sole accused in Crime No.51 of 2018. Based on the complaint lodged by the second respondent, against the petitioner, a case was registered in Crime No.51 of 2018 on the file of the first respondent police, for the offence punishable under Sections 294(b), 352 and 506(i) of IPC r/w



Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act 2003.

4.It appears that on the advice of friends and elders, the parties have entered into compromise and a Joint Compromise Memo, signed by both parties, in the presence of their respective counsel, is also filed. As per the Joint Compromise Memo, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent has agreed to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.51 of 2018.

5.The parties have appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed the Joint Compromise Memo on their own free will and volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) through the first respondent police.

6.Having regard to the specific terms of the Joint Compromise Memo, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence the First Information Report in Crime No.51 of 2018, on the file of the first respondent police, is quashed in toto. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

7.Accordingly, the Criminal Original petition is allowed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(w)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

Encl: Xerox copy of Joint Compromise Memo

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli Town Police Station,
Tirunelveli District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1cc to Mr.M.Subash Babu, Advocate Sr.No.55186

DAS

VB/SKN/RSK/SAR1/05/04/2018/2P/4C

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

Crl.O.P.(MD) No.3452 of 2018
13.03.2018