



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Thursday, the First day of March Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.3191 of 2018

ILANGO VAN

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VELLANUR POLICE STATION,
PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT
(IN CRIME NO.06/2018)

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner :M/S.P.GANAPATHI SUBRAMANIAN Advocate

For Respondent :MR.K.SUYAMBULINGA BHARATHI Govt. Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C.


ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 01.02.2018, for the offences punishable under Sections 387 and 506(ii) I.P.C., in Crime No.06 of 2018, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein threatened the defacto complainant by using the knife and took away a sum of Rs.500/- from the defacto complainant. Hence, a case has been registered for the above said offences.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has been falsely implicated in this case, further added that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 01.02.2018 onwards. Hence, he prays for bail.

4.The learned Government Advocate(Criminal Side) appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner is having two previous cases, in which, one case is registered for the offence under Section 302 I.P.C., According to him, investigation is still pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



5. The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side are considered. It is alleged that during the time of occurrence, the petitioner has followed the defacto complainant, threatened him by using the knife and robbed a sum of Rs.500/-. Even though, the said robbed amount was recovered, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the State submitted that the petitioner is having two previous cases, so considering the previous antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

sd/-
01/03/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
VELLANUR POLICE STATION, PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT.
- 2 THE OFFICER IN CHARGE,
DISTRICT PRISON, PUDUKKOTTAI.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.3191 of 2018
Date :01/03/2018

MKV-PM-PN-SAR 4/12.3.2018/2P-4C