



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Seventh day of February Two Thousand Eighteen

WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.3093 of 2018

GAJENDRAN,

... PETITIONER/ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN

Vs

STATE REP BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHERANMAHADEVI POLICE STATION,
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
IN CRIME NO.12 OF 2018.

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : M/S.R.RAJESH KUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offences punishable under Sections 143, 283 and 188 of IPC, in Crime No.12 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to the death of one Thangapandian, the petitioner along with other accused made road rogue and committed the offence of public nuisance. Hence, the *defacto* complainant has lodged a complaint as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and his name has been falsely implicated in this case.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the State would submit that the investigation is not yet completed.

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side are considered. It is alleged that due to the death of one Thanagapandian, the petitioner along with other accused made road rogue. Hence, considering the nature of offences committed by the petitioner, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Therefore, this Court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi, Thirunelveli District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-

27/02/2018

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
CHERANMAHADEVI, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
- 2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT
- 3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
CHERANMAHADEVI POLICE STATION, TIRUNELVELI DISTRICT.
- 4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI

+1. CC to M/S.R.RAJESH KUMAR Advocate SR.No.3122

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.3093 of 2018

Date :27/02/2018