



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 26.02.2018

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. RAJAMANICKAM

Cr1.O.P. (MD) No.3082 of 2018

1. Pandi @ Pandian
2. Saraswathi @ Sarasu
3. Jegan
4. Divya @ Divya Bharathi
5. Gudan
6. Oomai @ Sethupathy ... Petitioners / Accused Nos. 1 to 6

-Vs-

1. State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Town Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.
(Cr.No.229 of 2015)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Soundhara Rajan ... 2nd Respondent/ Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original petition filed under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure, to call for the entire records in relating to the entire proceedings of the FIR in Crime No.229 of 2015 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.S.Jeyakarthik

For 1st Respondent : Mr.A.P.G.Ohm Chairma Prabhu
Government Advocate (Cr1.side)

For 2nd Respondent : Mr.Ramesh Arumugam

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to call for the entire records in relating to the entire proceedings of the FIR in Crime No.229 of 2015 on the file of the 1st respondent and quash the



2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) appearing for the first respondent and the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

WEB COPY

3. The petitioners are accused Nos. 1 to 6 in Crime No.229 of 2015. Based on the complaint lodged by the second respondent, a case was registered for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294 (b), 452, 324, 342, 355, 109, 307 & 506(ii) of IPC.

4. It appears that the petitioners and the second respondent, namely, the de-facto complainant have settled their dispute amicably out of Court and they have also entered into a compromise, on the advise of elders and their relatives. A Joint Compromise Memo, signed by both parties, in the presence of their respective counsel, is also produced before this Court. As per the Joint Compromise Memo, the de-facto complainant, namely, the second respondent, has no objection for quashing the proceedings in Crime No.229 of 2015 on the file of the 1st respondent against the accused Nos.1 to 6 alone.

5. The parties are appeared before this Court and expressed in unequivocal terms that they have signed the Joint Compromise Memo on their own free will and volition. The identity of the parties are verified with reference to the authenticated documents produced by the parties before this Court. The identity of the parties are also confirmed by the learned Government Advocate (CrI.side) through the first respondent police.

6. Having regard to the specific terms of the Joint Compromise Memo, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose will be served by keeping this matter pending. Hence, the proceedings in Crime No.229 of 2015 on the file of the 1st respondent, against the accused Nos.1 to 6 alone are quashed. The Joint Compromise Memo signed by the parties shall form part of the order.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Original petition is allowed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar()

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

Encl:Xerox copy of Compromise Memo

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
Paramakudi Town Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District.



2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

WEB COPY

+1cc to M/s.M.S.Jeyakarthik, Advocate in SR.NO.51528

dss

MV:GT:SAR4:15/03/2018/2P/4C

Cr1.O.P. (MD) No. 3082 of 2018

26.02.2018