



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twentieth day of February Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP(MD) No.2674 of 2018

CHERMAKANI

... PETITIONER / ACCUSED No.2

Vs

THE STATE REPRESENTED BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
SRIVAIKUNDAM, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT
CR.NO.1/2018

... RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioner : Mr.M.PRABU Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.A.ROBINSON, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-


The petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.2, apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 498(A) and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.1 of 2018, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner and other accused abused the *defacto* complainant in filthy language and also threatened her with dire consequences. Thus, on complaint, a case has been registered against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that the investigation is not yet completed.

5.The submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on either side are considered. It is alleged, during the time of occurrence, the first accused, who is the husband of the *defacto* complainant made illegal contact with this petitioner. Except the above allegation, the *defacto* complainant did not allege anything against the petitioner and hence, for the offence under Section 506



(i) of IPC, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail with some stringent conditions to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Srivaikundam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

- (i) the petitioner shall appear before the respondent Police daily 10.00 a.m. for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.
- (ii) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
- (iii) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
- (iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

sd/-
20/02/2018


/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SRIVAIKUNDAM,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
THOOTHUKUDI



3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION, SRIVAIKUNDAM,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT,
MADURAI

WEB COPY

+1. CC to M/S.M.PRABU Advocate SR.No.2750

JAM/26/02/2018/PM-PN/ SAR 1 /3P-6C

ORDER

IN

CRL OP (MD) No.2674 of 2018

Date :20/02/2018