



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Twenty First day of February Two Thousand Eighteen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice R.PONGIAPPAN

CRL OP (MD) No.2389 of 2018

1 ASARAFF
2 PASHEER @ MOHAMMED PASHEER
3 KAMAR @ KAMARDEEN
4 ISMAIL

... PETITIONERS / ACCUSED NO.1 TO 4

Vs

THE STATE REP.BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT,
IN CR.NO. 52 OF 2018

... 1st RESPONDENT / COMPLAINANT

For Petitioners : M/S.A.MURALIKUMAR Advocate

For Respondent : MR.A.ROBINSON Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)


PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners/A1 to A4, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 341, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC., in Crime No.52 of 2018, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.02.2018, in the football match, there is a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant's friends. Thereafter, when the defacto complainant return from the match, the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant, assaulted him by using iron rod and wooden log and caused injuries. Further, the petitioners abused the defcato complainant by using filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case has been registered against the petitioners for the above incident.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons, they did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they are no way connected with the alleged occurrence. He further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent on instructions, would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending.

5. The submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for either side are considered. According to the prosecution, the person, who sustained injury during the time of alleged occurrence was discharged from the hospital after completing treatment. Further, except Section 506 (ii) IPC, all the other petition mentioned offences are bailable in nature. Accordingly, custodial interrogation may not be necessary for completing the investigation. Therefore, considering the above facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or to the Police Officer, who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(i) the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(ii) the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(iii) the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(iv) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioners shall stand automatically cancelled and the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

sd/-
21/02/2018

/ TRUE COPY /



TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARAIKUDI.

2 DO THROUGH THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KARAIKUDI NORTH POLICE STATION, SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.

4 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+1. CC to M/S.A.MURALIKUMAR Advocate SR.No.2784

ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) No.2389 of 2018

Date :21/02/2018

MKV-CM-VR-SAR 3/27.2.2018/3P-6C